arXiv:0810.1943v2 [hep-th] 28 Oct 2008

Pullback of the Volume Form, Integrable Models in Higher Dimensions and Exotic Textures

C. Adam [a] P. Klimas [a] J. Sánchez-Guillén [a]

[a] *Departamento de Fisica de Particulas, Universidad de Santiago and Instituto Galego de Fisica de Altas Enerxias (IGFAE)*
E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

A. Wereszczyński [b,c]

[b] *The Nils Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark*
[c] *Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland*

Abstract

A procedure allowing for the construction of Lorentz invariant integrable models living in $d+1$ dimensional space-time and with an n dimensional target space is provided. Here, integrability is understood as the existence of the generalized zero-curvature formulation and infinitely many conserved quantities. A close relation between the Lagrange density of the integrable models and the pullback of the pertinent volume form on target space is established. Moreover, we show that the conserved currents are Noether currents generated by the volume preserving diffeomorphisms. Further, we show how such models may emerge via abelian projection of some gauge theories.

Then we apply this framework to the construction of integrable models with exotic textures. Particularly, we consider integrable models providing exact suspended Hopf maps i.e., solitons with a nontrivial topological charge of $\pi_4(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Finally, some families of integrable models with solitons of $\pi_n(S^n)$ type are constructed. Infinitely many exact solutions with arbitrary value of the topological index are found. In addition, we demonstrate that they saturate a Bogomolny bound.

Key words: Zero curvature, classical integrability, higher dimensions, topological solitons, higher rank tensors
PACS: 11.27.+d, 11.10.Lm

Email addresses: `adam@fpaxp1.usc.es` (C. Adam),
`klimas@fpaxp1.usc.es` (P. Klimas),
`joaquin@fpaxp1.usc.es` (J. Sánchez-Guillén),
`wereszczynski@th.if.uj.edu.pl` (A. Wereszczyński).

1. Introduction

Integrability has proven a valuable concept for the analysis and solution of nonlinear field theories in 1+1 dimensions, but its generalization to higher dimensions is a rather difficult endeavour, and a generally accepted concept of higher-dimensional integrability does not yet exist. One possible way to generalize integrability to higher dimensions was proposed in [1], where the zero curvature of Zakharov and Shabat has been generalized to higher dimensions. Further, it was demonstrated in the same paper that some known higher-dimensional nonlinear field theories possess the generalized zero curvature representation and, at the same time, infinitely many conservation laws.

It is the main purpose of the present paper to further develop this investigation. We shall explicitly construct different families of higher-dimensional field theories which possess the generalized zero curvature representation. Further, we will find their infinitely many conservation laws as well as their exact soliton solutions. A key ingredient will be that their Lagrangians are related to the volume forms on their respective target spaces, and the conserved currents are, in turn, related to the volume preserving diffeomorphisms. Before introducing our investigation, it will be useful to review some known results in order to facilitate some background for the constructions that follow.

Shortly after the general proposal of [1], some new nonlinear field theories in 3+1 dimensions with two dimensional target space and possessing the generalized zero curvature representation were constructed explicitly. One first model was introduced by Aratyn, Ferreira and Zimerman (AFZ), and they explicitly constructed both infinitely many conservation laws and infinitely many soliton solutions [2], [3]. Due to the two-dimensional target space, their solitons are, in fact, topological and are classified by the Hopf index. Some integrable generalizations of the model of AFZ were discussed in [4], where again infinitely many conservation laws and infinitely many topological (Hopf) solitons were found. Another model giving rise to Hopf solitons had been originally proposed by Nicole, who found its simplest Hopf soliton with Hopf index one [5]. This Nicole model again possesses the generalized curvature representation [6], but it only gives rise to finitely many conservation laws. Only a submodel of the Nicole model, defined by further first order equations ("integrability conditions") in addition to the Euler–Lagrange equations, possesses infinitely many conservation laws. Further, for the Nicole model only the simplest soliton may be found in an analytic form. Higher solitons have to be calculated numerically [7]. Some generalization of the Nicole model have been discussed in [8], [9], where again only one analytical soliton solution could be found in each model. Both the AFZ model and the Nicole model (and their generalizations) allow for static finite energy solutions because their kinetic term is chosen non-polynomial in order to have a scale invariant energy and avoid Derrick's theorem. This idea of non-polynomial Lagrangians is originally due to [10], where it was applied to a phenomenological model of pions. A more geometric understanding of the conservation laws in the models mentioned above was developed in [11], [12], [6], [13], where it was shown that the conserved currents are just the Noether currents of the area-preserving diffeomorphisms on target space. The off-shell divergence of these currents is proportional to the Euler–Lagrange equations (for AFZ type models) or to a linear combination of Euler–Lagrange equations and integrability conditions (for Nicole type models), respectively. In a parallel development, the generalized zero curvature representation, integrability and conservation laws of chiral and non-linear sigma models were investigated, e.g., in [14], [15], [16], [17].

Soon after this, the investigation was extended to field theories with a three dimensional target space, the best-known of which is the Skyrme model [18], [19]. The Skyrme model again possesses the generalized zero curvature representation, but only a finite number of conserved currents. But, again, there exists a submodel of the Skyrme model which has infinitely many conservation laws [20]. A detailed classification of the integrability of field theories with three dimensional target spaces has been performed in [21], [22]. The abelian projection of SU(2) Yang–Mills dilaton theory, which effectively has a three dimensional target space, was studied in [23]. Integrable theories with higher dimensional target spaces were investigated, e.g., in [15], [22]. Further, the concept of generalized curvature representations and integrability was applied to non-linear sigma models on noncommutative space-time in [24].

After this brief review we will give the outline of the present paper, which combines and generalizes the ingredients described above: both an algebraic and a geometrical formulation of the generalized integrability, as well as the analysis of the geometry and topology of the target space and its interrelation with the symmetries and conservation laws of the field theories under investigation.

Our paper is organized as follows. First we briefly recall the idea of the generalized integrability and discuss it in the case of S^2 target space, concretely for the AFZ model. We find the geometric condition which makes this model integrable. In section 3 we extend this geometric approach to models with more complicated target space manifolds. We show how one can construct integrable models based on the volume form on target space. We find a family of infinitely many conserved quantities and explain their existence by relating them with the pertinent symmetries of the target space. Section 4 is devoted to the generalization to even higher dimensional target spaces. In Section 5 we explain how the models presented in the previous sections may be related to gauge theories with the help of the abelian projection. In section 6 we use the methods of Sections 3, 4 to construct models with exact topological solitons with nontrivial values of some exotic topological charges like, i.e., $\pi_4(S^3)$ or $\pi_5(S^4)$. In Section 7 theories with the more conventional $\pi_n(S^n)$ textures are investigated. We construct some families of infinitely many finite energy solutions and further find that they obey a Bogomolny equation. Finally, we present our conclusions in Section 8.

2. Generalized integrability

2.1. *Generalized Zero Curvature (GZC) formulation*

The most natural geometrical object in the generalized zero curvature representation is a connection on higher loop space, and the condition of zero curvature for this connection will, in general, not lead to local equations in ordinary space time. There exist, however, sufficient local conditions which ensure the vanishing of the pertinent higher dimensional curvature and, therefore, realize the generalized zero curvature formulation in a local manner. One such sufficient condition is constructed as follows. The starting point is the specification of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and an Abelian ideal $\mathcal{P}$ together with a connection $A_\mu \in \mathcal{G}$ and a rank d antisymmetric tensor $B_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_d} \in \mathcal{P}$. The corresponding curvature vanishes if we assume that the connection is flat and the Hogde dual to $B_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_d}$ is covariantly constant with respect to the connection i.e.,

$$F_{\mu\nu}(A) = 0, \qquad D_\mu \tilde{B}^\mu = 0, \qquad \text{where} \quad \tilde{B}_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{d!} \epsilon_{\mu\mu_1 \ldots \mu_d} B^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_d}. \tag{2.1}$$

We say that a model possesses the generalized zero curvature representation if its equations of motion may be re-expressed in this form. Further, one can notice that for a given $\tilde{B}_\mu$ field we are able to construct conserved currents which are equal in number to the dimension of the Abelian ideal we used in the construction. Therefore, we say that a model is integrable (within this generalized approach) if the corresponding Abelian ideal has infinite dimensions.

2.2. *Models with 2dim target space*

Let us investigate how this general approach works in the case of models with two dimensional target space. Here we identify the target space of the nonlinear model with a two-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$. Instead of real coordinates (ξ^1, ξ^2) we introduce the complex coordinates $u = \xi^1 + i\xi^2$. According to the general prescription we fix the Lie algebra and the Abelian ideal. Namely, $\mathcal{G}$ is the Lie algebra of the $SU(2)$ Lie group restricted to S^2 whereas $\mathcal{P}$ is the representation space of it with arbitrary angular momentum number and magnetic number restricted to ± 1, that is $\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{ reps } R_{lm} \text{ of } su(2), \ m = \pm 1, \ l = 1 \ldots \infty \}$. Then, in the triplet representation

$$A_\mu = -\partial_\mu W W^{-1} = \frac{1}{1+|u|^2} \left(-i u_\mu T_+ - i \bar{u}_\mu T_- + (u \bar{u}_\mu - \bar{u} u_\mu) T_3 \right) \tag{2.2}$$

$$\tilde{B}_\mu = \frac{1}{1+|u|^2} \left(\bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu P_1^{(1)} - \mathcal{H}_\mu P_{-1}^{(1)} \right), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ is so far an arbitrary vector depending on the fields as well as their derivatives, W is an element of $SU(2)/U(1)$ given by

$$W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|u|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iu \\ i\bar{u} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.4}$$

and $T_3 = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1)$. Further, $T_\pm = (T_1 \pm T_2)/2$ and $P_m^{(j)}$ constitute the basis of the Lie algebra and the Abelian ideal, respectively. T_1, T_2, T_3 are Pauli matrices. The commutators are $[T_3, T_\pm] = \pm T_\pm,\ \ [T_+, T_-] = 2T_3$, $[T_3, P_m^{(j)}] = mP_m^{(j)}$, $[T_\pm, P_m^{(j)}] = \sqrt{j(j+1) - m(m\pm 1)}P_{m\pm 1}^{(j)}$, $[P_m^{(j)}, P_m^{(j')}] = 0$. The connection A_μ is flat by construction. Thus, the only nontrivial condition in the GZC formulation is the covariant constancy of the $\tilde{B}_\mu$ field. In the triplet representation this results in

$$(1+|u|^2)\partial^\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu - 2u\mathcal{H}_\mu \bar{u}^\mu = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

However, in a higher spin representation one gets, in addition to (2.5), the constraint

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu \bar{u}^\mu = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

So, we can conclude that a dynamical model with two dimensional target space is integrable if one may define a vector quantity $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ such that $\mathcal{H}_\mu \bar{u}^\mu \equiv 0$ and the pertinent equations of motion read

$$\partial^\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Models with these properties are known as models of the Aratyn-Ferriera-Zimmerman type [3]. They are integrable in the GZC formulation sense: they have the GZC formulation with the infinite-dimensional Abelian ideal. They are given by the following Lagrange density

$$\mathcal{L} = \omega(u\bar{u})H^q, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$H \equiv u_\mu^2 \bar{u}_\nu^2 - (u_\mu \bar{u}^\mu)^2. \tag{2.9}$$

ω is any function of $u\bar{u}$ whereas q is a positive real parameter. A particular example of such integrable models in four dimensional Minkowski space-time is given by the expression

$$\mathcal{L}_{AFZ} = \omega(u\bar{u})H^{\frac{3}{4}}, \tag{2.10}$$

where the value of the power is taken to avoid the Derrick arguments for the non-existence of static solitons [2]. The AFZ model describes, in fact, soliton excitations of a three component unit vector field which may be related via the standard stereographic projection with the complex field u. As the static solutions are maps from compactified R^3 to the S^2 target space they carry the corresponding topological charge, i.e., the Hopf index $Q \in \pi_3(S^2) \cong Z$. The lump like structure of the solitons emerges from the fact that the pre-image of a given point on the target sphere is a closed line. For this model such topologically nontrivial solitons (hopfions) have been derived in an exact form [3]. Moreover, one can also construct infinitely many conserved currents

$$j_\mu = G_{\bar{u}}\mathcal{H}_\mu - G_u \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = \omega^{1/3} H^{-1/4} h_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad h_\mu \equiv H_{u^\mu} = 2\left(\bar{u}_\nu^2 u_\mu - (u_\nu \bar{u}^\nu)\bar{u}_\mu\right). \tag{2.12}$$

Further, G is an arbitrary function of u and $\bar{u}$, and $G_u \equiv \partial_u G$, etc. In order to understand the geometrical meaning of this model, which may give us a clue how to generalize it to field theories with a more complicated target space, we consider the area two form on the target space manifold

$$\Omega \equiv \frac{g(u\bar{u})}{2i} d\bar{u} \wedge du, \tag{2.13}$$

where g is the area density. The pullback of the area two-form in the base $(d+1)$ Minkowski space-time is

$$\Omega' = \frac{g(u\bar{u})}{2i} \bar{u}_\mu u_\nu dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu. \tag{2.14}$$

Now we are able to define two objects. Namely, a rank two anti-symmetric tensor

$$h_{\mu\nu} \equiv u_\mu \bar{u}_\nu - \bar{u}_\mu u_\nu \tag{2.15}$$

and a scalar density $H \equiv \frac{1}{2!}h_{\mu\nu}^2$ which are exactly the same objects as used in the construction of the AFZ model. Observe that the density H equals the square of the pullback of the area two-form modulo a multiplicative term depending on the area density g. In other words, the fact which makes the AFZ model integrable is that it is proportional to a function of the square of the pullback of the area two-form to the base Minkowski space-time.

Therefore, one can conjecture that integrable models with higher dimensional target spaces can be constructed using the square of the pullback of the pertinent volume form on the target space manifold into the base Minkowski space-time. In the proceeding sections we demonstrate this hypothesis by explicit construction.

3. Integrable models with 3dim target space

3.1. *The model*

Following the considerations of the previous section, our starting point for the construction of integrable models with three dimensional target space $\mathcal{M}^{(3)}$ is to consider the volume three-form on $\mathcal{M}^{(3)}$

$$V_{(3)} = \frac{g(u\bar{u}, \xi)}{2i} du \wedge d\bar{u} \wedge d\xi, \tag{3.1}$$

where $u, \bar{u}$ together with a scalar ξ are local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}^{(3)}$ and g is the volume density. Then the pullback of the volume three-form to the base Minkowski space-time is

$$V'_{(3)} = \frac{g(u\bar{u}, \xi)}{2i} u_\mu \bar{u}_\nu \xi_\rho dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho. \tag{3.2}$$

Again, we may extract from the last formula a rank three anti-symmetric tensor

$$h_{\mu\nu\rho} = u_\mu \bar{u}_\nu \xi_\rho + u_\rho \bar{u}_\mu \xi_\nu + u_\nu \bar{u}_\rho \xi_\mu - u_\nu \bar{u}_\mu \xi_\rho - u_\rho \bar{u}_\nu \xi_\mu - u_\mu \bar{u}_\rho \xi_\nu \tag{3.3}$$

and the corresponding scalar

$$H_{(3)} \equiv \frac{1}{3!} h_{\mu\nu\rho}^2 = \xi_\rho^2 \left(u_\mu^2 \bar{u}_\nu^2 - (u_\mu \bar{u}^\mu)^2 \right) + 2(u_\mu \bar{u}^\mu)(u_\nu \xi^\nu)(\bar{u}_\rho \xi^\rho) - (u_\mu \xi^\mu)^2 \bar{u}_\nu^2 - (\bar{u}_\mu \xi^\mu)^2 u_\nu^2. \tag{3.4}$$

The last object is proportional to the square of the pullback of the volume three-form up to a term which does not contain any derivatives of the fields. [1]

Then, the class of integrable models with tree dimensional target space is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{L} = \omega(u\bar{u}, \xi) H_{(3)}^q, \tag{3.5}$$

with a positive parameter q (where q may, e.g., be chosen to guarantee the invariance of the model under the scale transformation or to provide finite energy solutions.)

In order to write the corresponding equations of motion let us define two vector quantities closely related to the canonical momenta

$$h_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial H_{(3)}}{\partial u^\mu} =$$

$$2\xi^2 \left(\bar{u}_\nu^2 u_\mu - (u_\nu \bar{u}^\nu) \bar{u}_\mu \right) + 2(u_\nu \xi^\nu)(\bar{u}_\nu \xi^\nu) \bar{u}_\mu + 2(u_\nu \bar{u}^\nu)(\bar{u}_\nu \xi^\nu) \xi_\mu - 2(u_\nu \xi^\nu) \bar{u}_\rho^2 \xi_\mu - 2(\bar{u}_\mu \xi^\mu)^2 u_\mu \tag{3.6}$$

---

[1] Such a rank three tensor in a slightly different parametrization has been previously analyzed in the context of the so-called generalization of the Goldstone model in (3+1) dimensions, which solutions are ungauged Higgs analogues of the Skyrme model solitons [25].

and

$$k_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial H_{(3)}}{\partial \xi^\mu} = 2\left(u_\mu^2 \bar{u}_\nu^2 - (u_\nu \bar{u}^\nu)^2\right)\xi_\mu + 2(u_\nu \bar{u}^\nu)\left((u_\nu \xi^\nu)\bar{u}_\mu + (\bar{u}_\nu \xi^\nu)u_\mu\right) - 2(u_\nu \xi^\nu)\bar{u}_\nu^2 u_\mu - 2(\bar{u}_\nu \xi^\nu)u_\nu^2 \bar{u}_\mu. \quad (3.7)$$

It is easy to verify that they obey the following relations

$$h_\mu u^\mu = 2H_{(3)} \quad (3.8)$$

$$h_\mu \bar{u}^\mu = 0, \qquad h_\mu \xi^\mu = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$k_\mu \xi^\mu = 2H_{(3)} \quad (3.10)$$

$$k_\mu u^\mu = 0, \qquad k_\mu \bar{u}^\mu = 0 \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, the equations of motion

$$\partial_\mu\left(q\omega H_{(3)}^{q-1} h^\mu\right) - \omega' \bar{u} H_{(3)}^q = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

$$\partial_\mu\left(q\omega H_{(3)}^{q-1} k^\mu\right) - \omega_\xi H_{(3)}^q = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

may be rewritten in the following simple form

$$\partial_\mu \mathcal{H}^\mu = 0, \qquad \mathcal{H}_\mu \equiv \omega^{1-\frac{1}{2q}} H_{(3)}^{q-1} h_\mu, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\partial_\mu \mathcal{K}^\mu = 0, \qquad \mathcal{K}_\mu \equiv \omega^{1-\frac{1}{2q}} H_{(3)}^{q-1} k_\mu. \quad (3.15)$$

The prime denotes differentiation with respect to $u\bar{u}$.

3.2. *GZC formulation*

To express the system of equations (3.14), (3.15) in terms of the generalized zero curvature condition, we specify $\mathcal{G}$ to be the Lie algebra of the $SU(2)$ Lie group (restricted to the equator) while $\mathcal{P}$ is the representation space of it with arbitrary integer angular momentum quantum number l, but magnetic quantum number m restricted to $\pm 1, 0$. As one can see, there is only one change in comparison with the integrable models with two dimensional target space. The Abelian ideal is extended to those representations which carry also zero magnetic number. Then, in spin (j) representation, the flat connection and the Hodge dual field are

$$A_\mu = -\partial_\mu W\, W^\dagger = \frac{1}{1+|u|^2}\left(-iu_\mu T_+ - i\bar{u}_\mu T_- + (u\bar{u}_\mu - \bar{u}u_\mu)T_3\right) \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\tilde{B}_\mu^{(j)} = \frac{i}{(1+|u|^2)^2}\mathcal{K}_\mu P_0^{(j)} + \frac{1}{1+|u|^2}\left(\bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu P_1^{(j)} - \mathcal{H}_\mu P_{-1}^{(j)}\right). \quad (3.17)$$

Similar fields are also used in the GZC formulation of the Skyrme model, see [20]. The covariant constancy of the Hodge dual field $\tilde{B}_\mu$ gives

$$\frac{i}{(1+|u|^2)^2}\partial^\mu \mathcal{K}_\mu P_0^{(j)} + \frac{1}{1+|u|^2}\left(\partial^\mu \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu P_1^{(j)} - \partial^\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu P_{-1}^{(j)}\right) - \frac{1}{(1+|u|^2)^2}\left(u\bar{u}^\mu \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu P_1^{(j)} - \bar{u}u^\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu P_{-1}^{(j)}\right) -$$

$$\frac{i}{(1+|u|^2)^2}\left(\bar{u}^\mu \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu - u^\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu\right)\sqrt{j(j+1)}P_0^{(j)} + \frac{1}{(1+|u|^2)^2}u\bar{u}^\mu \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu P_1^{(j)} - \frac{1}{(1+|u|^2)^2}\bar{u}u^\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu P_{-1}^{(j)} = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Here we used the following important properties obeyed by the objects $\mathcal{K}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{H}_\mu$. Namely,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu \bar{u}^\mu = 0, \;\; \mathcal{H}_\mu \xi^\mu = 0, \quad \mathcal{K}_\mu u^\mu = 0, \;\; \mathcal{K}_\mu \bar{u}^\mu = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Moreover, if we notice that

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu u^\mu = \bar{u}^\mu \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu \tag{3.20}$$

then we arrive at the field equations (3.14), (3.15). Therefore, we conclude that these models are integrable. The Abelian ideal we used in the generalized zero curvature is indeed infinite dimensional.
Observe that the connection A_μ belongs to the Lie algebra of the $SU(2)$ Lie group restricted to the coset space $SU(2)/U(1)$, as is the case for models with two-dimensional target space. Moreover, the dual field $\tilde{B}_\mu$ is defined up to an arbitrary function of u and $\bar{u}$ which multiplies $P_0^{(j)}$.
Finally, we prove that this family of models possess infinitely many conserved quantities as is required for the integrable systems. After some calculations one can verify that there are three families of infinitely many on-shell conserved currents

$$j_\mu^{(G)} = G_{\bar{u}}\mathcal{H}_\mu - G_u \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu \tag{3.21}$$

$$j_\mu^{(\tilde{G})} = \tilde{G}_\xi \mathcal{H}_\mu - \tilde{G}_u \mathcal{K}_\mu \tag{3.22}$$

$$j_\mu^{(\tilde{\tilde{G}})} = \tilde{\tilde{G}}_\xi \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu - \tilde{\tilde{G}}_{\bar{u}} \mathcal{K}_\mu. \tag{3.23}$$

Here

$$G = G(u, \bar{u}, \xi), \quad \tilde{G} = \tilde{G}(u, \bar{u}, \xi), \quad \tilde{\tilde{G}} = \tilde{\tilde{G}}(u, \bar{u}, \xi), \quad . \tag{3.24}$$

Moreover, there is a good understanding of the geometrical origin of the currents. We show that the conservation laws found for the integrable models are generated by a class of geometric target space transformations. Specifically, they are the Noether currents related to the volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. Let us again consider a three-dimensional target space manifold $\mathcal{M}^{(3)}$, parameterized by local coordinates $X^i, i = 1, 2, 3$. Then the volume 3-form is given by the expression

$$V_{(3)} = g(X^i) dX^1 \wedge dX^2 \wedge dX^3. \tag{3.25}$$

A volume-preserving diffeomorphism is a a coordinate transformation leaving the volume form invariant. For an arbitrary infinitesimal transformation

$$X'^i = X^i + \epsilon Y^i(X^j) \tag{3.26}$$

invariance of the volume form results in the condition on Y^i functions

$$\partial_i(gY^i) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i}(gY^i) = 0. \tag{3.27}$$

As the considered manifold is three dimensional we apply Darboux's theorem and derive a general (local) solution

$$gY^i = \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j A \partial_k B, \tag{3.28}$$

where A, B are arbitrary functions of the local coordinates. Following that we may write a general vector field generated by a volume-preserving diffeomorphisms

$$\mathbf{v}^Y = Y^i \partial_i = Y^u \partial_u + Y^{\bar{u}} \partial_{\bar{u}} + Y^\xi \partial_\xi, \tag{3.29}$$

where we assumed the parametrization of the target manifold by a complex field u and a real scalar ξ introduced before. These vector fields obey the Lie algebra

$$[\mathbf{v}^Y, \mathbf{v}^{\tilde{Y}}] = \mathbf{v}^{\tilde{\tilde{Y}}}, \tag{3.30}$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{Y}}^i = (\partial_j Y^i)\tilde{Y}^j - (\partial_j \tilde{Y}^i) Y^j. \tag{3.31}$$

In a relativistic field theory one can find a general expression for Noether currents corresponding to the vector fields $\mathbf{v}^Y$. Namely,

$$J^{(Y)}_\mu = Y^u \pi_\mu + Y^{\bar{u}} \bar{\pi}_\mu + Y^\xi P_\mu, \tag{3.32}$$

where $\pi_\mu = \partial_{u_\mu} \mathcal{L}, \bar{\pi}_\mu = \partial_{\bar{u}_\mu} \mathcal{L}, P_\mu = \partial_{\xi_\mu} \mathcal{L}$ are the standard canonical momenta. For the integrable models discussed in the previous section we get (up to an unimportant multiplicative constant)

$$\pi_\mu = \omega^{\frac{1}{2q}} \mathcal{H}_\mu, \quad \bar{\pi}_\mu = \omega^{\frac{1}{2q}} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\mu, \quad P_\mu = \omega^{\frac{1}{2q}} \mathcal{K}_\mu. \tag{3.33}$$

4. Higher dimensional target space

The generalization to integrable field theories with a target space of arbitrary dimension n is straightforward. As we described in the case of models with two or three dimensional target spaces, one should begin with the pertinent volume form on the target space manifold $\mathcal{M}^{(n)}$ i.e.,

$$V_{(2k)} = g(u^{(i)}, \bar{u}^{(i)}) du^{(1)} \wedge d\bar{u}^{(1)} \wedge ... \wedge du^{(k)} \wedge d\bar{u}^{(k)} \tag{4.1}$$

for an even number of dimensions $n = 2k$ or

$$V_{(2k+1)} = g(u^{(i)}, \bar{u}^{(i)}, \xi) du^{(1)} \wedge d\bar{u}^{(1)} \wedge ... \wedge du^{(k)} \wedge d\bar{u}^{(k)} \wedge d\xi \tag{4.2}$$

for an odd number of dimensions $n = 2k+1$. The local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$ are $u^{(1)}, \bar{u}^{(1)}, ..., u^{(k)}, \bar{u}^{(k)}$ or $u^{(1)}, \bar{u}^{(1)}, ..., u^{(k)}, \bar{u}^{(k)}$, ξ respectively for those cases. $u^{(i)}, i = 1...k$ are complex fields while ξ is a real scalar. Therefore, after performing the pullback into the base Minkowski space-time we can define a rank n antisymmetric tensor $h_{\mu_1 ... \mu_n}$ as

$$h_{\mu_1 ... \mu_{2k}} = u^{(1)}_{[\mu_1} \bar{u}^{(1)}_{\mu_2} ... u^{(k)}_{\mu_{2k-1}} \bar{u}^{(k)}_{\mu_{2k}]} \quad \text{or} \quad h_{\mu_1 ... \mu_{2k+1}} = u^{(1)}_{[\mu_1} \bar{u}^{(1)}_{\mu_2} ... u^{(k)}_{\mu_{2k-1}} \bar{u}^{(k)}_{\mu_{2k}} \xi_{\mu_{2k+1}]} \tag{4.3}$$

depending on the dimension of $\mathcal{M}$. Here [ ] stands for antisymmetrization. In addition we need a scalar quantity built out of this tensor

$$H_{(n)} = \frac{1}{n!} h^2_{\mu_1 ... \mu_n}. \tag{4.4}$$

The integrable Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{(n)} = \omega H^q_{(n)}, \tag{4.5}$$

where ω is a real function factor depending on the fields i.e., coordinates on the n-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}^{(n)}$. Then the equations of motion read

$$\partial^\mu \mathcal{H}^{(i)}_\mu = 0, \quad \mathcal{H}^{(i)}_\mu \equiv \omega^{1-\frac{1}{2q}} H^{q-1}_{(n)} h^{(i)}_\mu, \quad i = 1...k \tag{4.6}$$

and their complex conjugate together with

$$\partial_\mu \mathcal{K}^\mu = 0, \quad \mathcal{K}_\mu \equiv \omega^{1-\frac{1}{2q}} H^{q-1}_{(n)} k_\mu \tag{4.7}$$

if the dimension of the target space is odd. Here

$$h^{(i)}_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial H_{(n)}}{\partial u^{(i)\,\mu}}, \quad i = 1..k \quad \text{and} \quad k_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial H_{(n)}}{\partial \xi^\mu} \tag{4.8}$$

are the canonical momenta obeying the following constrains identically

$$h^{(i)}_\mu u^{(i)\mu} = 2H_{(n)}, \quad \text{and} \quad k_\mu \xi^\mu = 2H_{(n)} \quad \text{no sumation over } \ i = 1...k \tag{4.9}$$

$$h^{(i)}_\mu u^{(j)\mu} = h^{(i)}_\mu \xi^\mu = 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad i \neq j \tag{4.10}$$

$$h^{(i)}_\mu \bar{u}^{(j)\mu} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j. \tag{4.11}$$

$$k_\mu u^{(j)\mu} = k_\mu \bar{u}^{(j)\mu} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j. \tag{4.12}$$

The families of infinitely many conserved quantities are given by the formulas

$$j^{(i,j)}_\mu = G^{(i,j)}_{\bar{u}_j} \mathcal{H}^{(i)}_\mu - G^{(i,j)}_{u_i} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_\mu, \quad i, j = 1...k \tag{4.13}$$

$$\tilde{j}^{(i,j)}_\mu = \tilde{G}^{(i,j)}_{\bar{u}_j} \mathcal{H}^{(i)}_\mu - \tilde{G}^{(i,j)}_{\bar{u}_i} \mathcal{H}^{(j)}_\mu, \quad \tilde{\tilde{j}}^{(i,j)}_\mu = \tilde{\tilde{G}}^{(i,j)}_{u_j} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(i)}_\mu - \tilde{\tilde{G}}^{(i,j)}_{u_i} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_\mu, \quad i, j = 1...k \tag{4.14}$$

$$j^{(i)}_\mu = G^{(i)}_\xi \mathcal{H}^{(i)}_\mu - G^{(i)}_{u_i} \mathcal{K}_\mu, \quad \tilde{j}^{(i)}_\mu = \tilde{G}^{(i)}_\xi \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{(i)}_\mu - \tilde{G}^{(i)}_{\bar{u}_i} \mathcal{K}_\mu, \quad i = 1...k, \tag{4.15}$$

where all functions G are arbitrary functions of all scalar fields and there is no summation over the i, j indices. Thus, in the case of even dimensional target space $n = 2k$ we have $k^2 + 2(k^2 - k) = k(3k - 2)$ independent families of infinitely many conserved currents. For odd dimensions $n = 2k + 1$ this number is $3k^2$.

Remark:
When the dimension of the target space n equals the number of the spatial dimension of the base space d, then the static equations of motion are trivial. In fact, then the quantity $h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\mu_n}$, being the pullback of the volume form on a target space, is a closed n-form also in the base space. As a consequence, its integral over a n-dimensional manifold only depends on the boundary conditions, that is, it gives a purely topological action (or energy functional). Therefore, these integrable models do not seem to lead to interesting results in the context of $\pi_n(S^n)$ solitons. (In Section 7 we show how one can circumvent this obstacle and construct actions with solitons of $\pi_n(S^n)$ type. The main idea is to include several pullback tensors in the Lagrangian.) If $d > n$, then the models are not longer trivial and may provide exact soliton solutions with topological charges from the homotopy group $\pi_d(S^n)$, as has been observed in the original AFZ model.

5. Relation to gauge theories

It has been established that two dimensional target space integrable models of the AFZ type may emerge via the Abelian projection of the $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field. It results in the observation that the Abelian projection of $SU(2)$ YM is an integrable sector of the full theory with magnetic monopoles as exact solutions [23]. In the case of integrable models with higher dimensional target space the situation is analogous. There exists a gauge theory which, after reduction of degrees of freedom, leads to the corresponding integrable pullback model. As a first example we consider the following model

$$L = \xi^2_\rho F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu} - 2(F^a_{\mu\nu} \xi^\nu)^2, \tag{5.1}$$

where the gauge fields $A^a_\mu, a = 1, 2, 3$ from the $su(2)$ Lie algebra is non-minimally coupled to the scalar field ξ. The field strength tensor is defined in the standard manner $F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + \epsilon^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$. The next step is to use the Cho-Faddeev-Niemi-Shabanov decomposition [31]-[36] and express the gauge fields by means of a new set of degrees of freedom

$$A^a_\mu = C_\mu n^a + \epsilon^{abc} n^b_\mu n^c + W^a_\mu, \tag{5.2}$$

where we introduced a three component unit vector field $\vec{n}$ pointing into the color direction, an Abelian gauge potential C_μ and a color vector field W^a_μ which is perpendicular to $\vec{n}$. Now, we restrict the gauge potential to the form

$$A^a_\mu = \epsilon^{abc} n^b_\mu n^c. \tag{5.3}$$

Then the field strength tensor reads

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon^{abc} n^b_\mu n^c_\nu. \tag{5.4}$$

Finally, taking into account the stereographic projection

$$\vec{n} = \frac{1}{1+|u|^2}\left(u+u^*, -i(u-u^*), |u|^2-1\right) \tag{5.5}$$

we arrive at the Lagrange density

$$L = -\frac{8}{(1+|u|^2)^4}\left[\xi_\rho^2\left(u_\mu^2\bar{u}_\nu^2-(u_\mu\bar{u}^\mu)^2\right)+2(u_\mu\bar{u}^\mu)(u_\nu\xi^\nu)(\bar{u}_\rho\xi^\rho)-(u_\mu\xi^\mu)^2\bar{u}_\nu^2-(\bar{u}_\mu\xi^\mu)^2u_\nu^2\right]. \tag{5.6}$$

As we claimed, it has exactly the form of the integrable pullback model with three-dimensional target space (3.5), with $q=1$ and $\omega = 8/(1+|u|^2)^4$.
In the case of the four-dimensional integrable models the related $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ gauge theory reads

$$L = (F^a_{\mu\nu})^2(G^b_{\rho\sigma})^2 - 4F^a_{\mu\rho}F^{a\mu\nu}G^b_{\sigma\nu}G^{b\sigma\rho} + F^a_{\mu\nu}F^a_{\rho\sigma}G^{b\mu\nu}G^{b\rho\sigma}, \tag{5.7}$$

where we have introduced the second, independent $SU(2)$ gauge potential B^a_μ. Then, $G^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B^a_\nu - \partial_\nu B^a_\mu + \epsilon^{abc}B^b_\mu B^c_\nu$ and the corresponding abelian projection is performed assuming

$$A^a_\mu = \epsilon^{abc}n^b_\mu n^c, \quad B^a_\mu = \epsilon^{abc}m^b_\mu m^c, \tag{5.8}$$

where $\vec{m}$ is another unit, three component vector field. Of course, one may continue this procedure and try to find gauge theories related to higher dimensional integrable pullback models. However, we would like to have a constructive method for generating such models, instead of this guessing-like procedure. Fortunately, such a method exists and is based on the observation that the gauge models (5.1) and (5.7) can be written in a more compact form. Namely, the Lagrange density (5.1) is given by

$$L = j^a_{\mu_1...\mu_{d-2}}j^{a\mu_1...\mu_{d-2}}, \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$j^a_{\mu_1...\mu_{d-2}} = \epsilon_{\mu_1...\mu_{d-2}\mu\nu\rho}F^{a\mu\nu}\xi^\rho. \tag{5.10}$$

In the same way, the model (5.7) may be expressed as

$$L = j^{ab}_{\mu_1...\mu_{d-3}}j^{ab\mu_1...\mu_{d-3}}, \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$j^{ab}_{\mu_1...\mu_{d-3}} = \epsilon_{\mu_1...\mu_{d-3}\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F^{a\mu\nu}G^{b\rho\sigma}. \tag{5.12}$$

d is the number of the spatial dimensions. Now, we are able to define gauge models which can be reduced via the Abelian projection to the integrable pullback models. Equivalently, one can say that these non-integrable gauge theories possess an integrable sector given by the pertinent integrable pullback model. The specific Lagrange density reads

$$L = j^{a_1..a_p}_{\mu_{2p+1}...\mu_{d+1}}j^{a_1..a_p\;\mu_{2p+1}...\mu_{d+1}} \tag{5.13}$$

where $2p$ is an even dimension of the target space while d is the number of the spatial dimensions. Here

$$j^{a_1..a_p}_{\mu_{2p+1}...\mu d+1} = \epsilon_{\mu_1...\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}...\mu_{d+1}}F^{a_1\mu_1\mu_2}_{(1)}...\,F^{a_p\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}}_{(p)}. \tag{5.14}$$

If the target space has an odd dimension $2p+1$, then we find

$$L = j^{a_1..a_p}_{\mu_{2p+2}...\mu_{d+1}}j^{a_1..a_p\;\mu_{2p+2}...\mu_{d+1}} \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$j^{a_1..a_p}_{\mu_{2p+2}...\mu d+1} = \epsilon_{\mu_1...\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}\mu_{2p+1}...\mu_{d+1}}F^{a_1\mu_1\mu_2}_{(1)}...\,F^{a_p\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}}_{(p)}\xi^{\mu_{2p+1}}. \tag{5.16}$$

Here each $F^{a\mu\nu}_{(i)}$ is the $SU(2)$ field strength tensor defined by an independent $SU(2)$ gauge field $A^{a\mu}_{(i)}$.
To conclude, we have found $SU(2)\times SU(2)\times...\times SU(2)$ theories which give a gauge covering of the integrable

pullback models. The question whether the pullback models may be immersed in gauge theories of a different type is still an open problem, which definitely requires further studies.

Remark:
One might conjecture that the rank three tensor $h_{\mu\nu\sigma}$ of Section 3 is related by means of some projection (reduction of degrees of freedom) to a rank three field strength tensor $\hat{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}$ of a non-Abelian rank two gauge field $\hat{A}_{\mu\nu}$ in the same way that the rank two tensor $h_{\mu\nu}$ is related to the abelian projection of an SU(2) field strength tensor. This would then imply that there existed an integrable subsector of the corresponding higher rank nonabelian gauge theory defined by such a projection. Unfortunately, the issue of nonabelian higher rank gauge theories is a difficult one, and a satisfactory definition of these theories has not yet been found. On the other hand, abelian higher rank gauge theories cf. Kalb–Ramond theories [37] do exist and have already demonstrated their relevance in numerous applications. Here we just want to mention that in $(d+1)$ Minkowski space-time, our tensor obeys the analog of the Bianchi identity

$$\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\ldots\mu_{d+1}}\partial^{\mu_1}h^{\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} = 0$$

as is required for the Abelian Kalb–Ramond tensor [38]

$$F_{\mu\nu\sigma} = \partial_\mu A_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_\sigma A_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu A_{\sigma\mu}.$$

So there may exist embeddings of our pullback model into Kalb–Ramond theories, although perhaps not in such a natural way like the abelian projection in the case of a nonabelian gauge theory.

6. Examples of exotic textures

Experience from integrable models in $(1+1)$ dimensions tells us that, in addition to the existence of infinitely many conservation laws and the zero curvature representation, such theories allow for exact (soliton) solutions. In this section we prove that the integrable models described before possess exact topologically nontrivial solutions. In fact, as our construction is valid for target and base spaces of any dimension, we are able to find Lorentz invariant dynamical systems which provide exact textures carrying rather exotic topological charges. Higher-dimensional textures with unusual topological charges have already been investigate, although not from the integrability point of view. Textures for higher Hopf maps have, e.g., been studied in [39]. A typical field of applications of these higher-dimensional textures is cosmology, therefore there exist many studies of textures with gravitational backreaction (i.e., self-gravitating textures). Some examples of the latter are gravitating 5-dim solitons [40], $O(4)$ gravitating solitons [41], gravitating monopoles in higher extra dim [42], [43], or a gravitating 6-dim Abelian Higgs vortex [44].

6.1. *Suspended Hopf maps on* S^4

As we mentioned before, the AFZ model possesses soliton solutions with nontrivial values of the Hopf index $Q_H \in \pi_3(S^2)$. However, one can associated with these Hopf maps $\vec{n} : S^3 \to S^2$ a suspended map $\vec{N} : S^4 \to S^3$ by mapping the S^3 equator of S^4 onto the S^2 equator of S^3 using the Hopf map $\vec{n}$ and then continuing smoothly to the poles. It is known that those new suspended maps may be classified by a topological invariant as the pertinent homotopy group is nonzero, $\pi_4(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Examples of the nontrivial representative class are the suspended maps

$$\vec{N} : \begin{pmatrix} R_0\sqrt{z}\cos\phi_2\sin\eta \\ R_0\sqrt{z}\sin\phi_2\sin\eta \\ R_0\sqrt{1-z}\cos\phi_1\sin\eta \\ R_0\sqrt{1-z}\sin\phi_1\sin\eta \\ R_0\cos\eta \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} n_1\sin\eta \\ n_2\sin\eta \\ n_3\sin\eta \\ \cos\eta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.1}$$

where $z \in [0,1], \phi_1 \in [0,2\pi], \phi_2 \in [0,2\pi]$ are coordinates on S^3 [45], [46], [47], and $\eta \in [0,\pi]$ gives the extension to S^4. The radius of the base sphere is R_0. In this subsection we propose a field theoretical model for which such topologically nontrivial solitons may be found in an exact form. Let us notice that topological defects of this kind are relevant for $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory in its euclideanized $3+1$ dimensional version.
The particular form of the Lagrangian density is

$$L = \left(\frac{4\xi}{(1+\xi^2)^2(1+|u|^2)^2} \right)^{\frac{7}{5}} H_{(3)}^{\frac{7}{10}}. \tag{6.2}$$

The value of the q parameter has been chosen to render the energies of the solutions finite. For the base space $S^4 \times \mathbb{R}$ such Lagrangians are admissible. This is due to the fact that the radius of the sphere fixes the scale in the model. Different values of the radius correspond to different theories. On the other hand in $\mathbb{R}^4$ base space such Lagrange densities give non-scale invariant static energies and soliton solutions would be unstable according to the Derick argument. From now on we neglect R_0 in our calculations as one may always recover it using the dimensional analysis. Moreover, we assume the following Ansatz for static solutions

$$u = f(z) e^{i(n\phi_1 + m\phi_2)}, \quad \xi = \xi(\eta). \tag{6.3}$$

Obviously, the complex field u is just a Hopf map with $Q_H = \pm mn$ topological index whereas the scalar ξ provides an extension to S^4. Then the gradients are

$$\nabla u = \frac{1}{\sin\eta} \left[2\sqrt{z(1-z)} f_z, \frac{inf}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \frac{imf}{\sqrt{z}}, 0 \right], \qquad \nabla \xi = [0,0,0,\xi_\eta]. \tag{6.4}$$

Notice that $\nabla u \nabla \xi = \nabla \bar{u} \nabla \xi \equiv 0$. Hence,

$$H_{(3)} = (\nabla \xi)^2 \left((\nabla u \nabla \bar{u})^2 - (\nabla u)^2 (\nabla \bar{u})^2 \right)$$

and

$$H_{(3)} = 16 \frac{z(1-z)}{\sin^4 \eta} f^2 f_z^2 \xi_\eta^2 \left(\frac{n^2}{1-z} + \frac{m^2}{z} \right). \tag{6.5}$$

Thus,

$$\vec{h} = \frac{8\sqrt{z(1-z)}\xi_\eta^2 f_z f}{\sin^3 \eta} \begin{pmatrix} f \left(\frac{n^2}{1-z} + \frac{m^2}{z} \right) \\ -2in\sqrt{z} f_z \\ -2im\sqrt{1-z} f_z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i(n\phi_1 + m\phi_2)} \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$\vec{k} = \frac{32 z(1-z) f_z^2 f^2}{\sin^4 \eta} \left(\frac{n^2}{1-z} + \frac{m^2}{z} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \xi_\eta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.7}$$

The static equations of motion are

$$\nabla \vec{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \quad \nabla \vec{\mathcal{K}} = 0. \tag{6.8}$$

$$\vec{\mathcal{H}} = \left(\frac{4\xi}{(1+\xi^2)^2(1+f^2)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{8\sqrt{z(1-z)}\xi_\eta^2 f_z f}{\sin^3 \eta} \left[\frac{16\xi_\eta^2}{\sin^4 \eta} z(1-z) f^2 f_z^2 \left(\frac{n^2}{1-z} + \frac{m^2}{z} \right) \right]^{-3/10} \times$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} f\left(\frac{n^2}{1-z}+\frac{m^2}{z}\right) \\ -2in\sqrt{z}f_z \\ -2im\sqrt{1-z}f_z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i(n\phi_1+m\phi_2)} \tag{6.9}$$

$$\vec{\mathcal{K}} = \left(\frac{4\xi}{(1+\xi^2)^2(1+f^2)^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{32z(1-z)f_z^2f^2}{\sin^4\eta}\left(\frac{n^2}{1-z}+\frac{m^2}{z}\right)\left[\frac{16\xi_\eta^2}{\sin^4\eta}z(1-z)f^2f_z^2\left(\frac{n^2}{1-z}+\frac{m^2}{z}\right)\right]^{-3/10} \times$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.10}$$

Then, we derive an ordinary differential equation for f

$$\partial_z\left[z(1-z)\left(\frac{4\xi}{(1+\xi^2)^2(1+f^2)^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} f^2f_z\left[\frac{16\xi_\eta^2}{\sin^4\eta}z(1-z)f^2f_z^2\left(\frac{n^2}{1-z}+\frac{m^2}{z}\right)\right]^{-3/10}\left(\frac{n^2}{1-z}+\frac{m^2}{z}\right)\right] -$$

$$z(1-z)\left(\frac{4\xi}{(1+\xi^2)^2(1+f^2)^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} ff_z^2\left[\frac{16\xi_\eta^2}{\sin^4\eta}z(1-z)f^2f_z^2\left(\frac{n^2}{1-z}+\frac{m^2}{z}\right)\right]^{-3/10}\left(\frac{n^2}{1-z}+\frac{m^2}{z}\right) = 0 \tag{6.11}$$

and for ξ

$$\partial_\eta\left(\left(\frac{4\xi}{(1+\xi^2)^2(1+f^2)^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{f_z^2f^2}{\sin\eta}\left(n^2z+m^2(1-z)\right)\left[\frac{16\xi_\eta^2}{\sin^4\eta}z(1-z)f^2f_z^2\left(\frac{n^2}{1-z}+\frac{m^2}{z}\right)\right]^{-3/10}\right) = 0. \tag{6.12}$$

They may be integrated to the following first order equations

$$\frac{2}{(1+f^2)^2}ff_z = \frac{C_1}{(n^2z+m^2(1-z))^{\frac{7}{4}}} \tag{6.13}$$

$$\frac{2}{(1+\xi^2)^2}\xi\xi_\eta = \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{\sin\eta}}. \tag{6.14}$$

Here C_1, C_2 are integration constants. The topologically nontrivial solutions are

$$\frac{1}{1+f^2} = \frac{(nm)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}-m^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left[\frac{1}{(m^2(1-z)+n^2z)^{\frac{3}{4}}} - \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right], \tag{6.15}$$

$$\frac{1}{1+\xi^2} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma^2[\frac{1}{4}]}\int_0^\eta \frac{d\eta'}{\sqrt{\sin\eta'}}. \tag{6.16}$$

The last integral can be easily expressed via the elliptic function of the first type. The boundary conditions have been chosen as

$$f(z=1)=\infty,\ \ f(z=0)=0, \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(\eta=\pi)=\infty,\ \ \xi(\eta=0)=0. \tag{6.17}$$

The total energy reads (if $m^2 \neq n^2$)

$$E = 2\pi^2 4^{7/5} \left(\frac{3\sqrt{2\pi}}{4\Gamma^2[\frac{1}{4}]} \right)^{2/5} (nm)^{3/5} \left(\frac{n^2 - m^2}{n^{3/2} - m^{3/2}} \right)^{2/5}. \tag{6.18}$$

The complicated dependence on the Hopf charge carried by the original Hopf map is an artefact of the particular value of the power in the Lagrangian.

Using the suspended Hopf maps $S^4 \to S^3$ obtained above, we are able to construct configurations with nontrivial $\pi_5(S^4)$. The procedure is analogous, we suspend the suspended Hopf maps deriving configurations we call 2-suspended Hopf maps. Namely,

$$\vec{M} : \begin{pmatrix} R_0\sqrt{z}\cos\phi_2 \sin\eta \sin\theta \\ R_0\sqrt{z}\sin\phi_2 \sin\eta \sin\theta \\ R_0\sqrt{1-z}\cos\phi_1 \sin\eta \sin\theta \\ R_0\sqrt{1-z}\sin\phi_1 \sin\eta \sin\theta \\ R_0 \cos\eta \sin\theta \\ R_0 \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \sin\eta \sin\theta \\ n_2 \sin\eta \sin\theta \\ n_3 \sin\eta \sin\theta \\ \cos\eta \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.19}$$

where $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ is a new angle on S^5. In this case one should investigate the following Lagrange density

$$L = \omega(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}) H_{(4)}^q, \tag{6.20}$$

where $H_{(4)}$ is a quantity obtained from the pullback of the volume 4-form on S^4. Of course, one may proceed further and consider higher suspended Hopf maps.

6.2. $\pi_{2n}(S^n)$, $n \geq 2$ *textures*

In the case when the spatial dimension of the base space-time is twice as big as the dimension of the target space $d = 2n$, the Hodge dual tensor has the same rank as the original $h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}$ tensor

$${}^*h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} = \frac{1}{n!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{2n}} h^{\mu_{n+1} \ldots \mu_{2n}}. \tag{6.21}$$

Moreover, the scaling invariant action is quadratic in $H_{(n)}$, giving equations of motion linear in the canonical momenta.
It is interesting to observate that energetically nontrivial solutions cannot be of the self-dual type. The proof is as follows. Let us assume that soliton solutions solve the self-dual equation

$$h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} = \pm {}^*h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}. \tag{6.22}$$

On the other hand, the static energy reads

$$E = \int d^{2n}x h^2_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{2n}x \left(h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} \pm {}^*h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} \right)^2 \mp \int d^{2n}x h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} {}^*h^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}. \tag{6.23}$$

For self-dual configurations the first integral vanishes, and

$$E = \frac{1}{n!} \int d^{2n}x \epsilon_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{2n}} h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} h^{\mu_{n+1} \ldots \mu_{2n}}. \tag{6.24}$$

However, this expression is identically zero for our $h_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}$ tensor. Therefore all self-dual solutions are in the vacuum.
Thus, it follows that nontrivial solitons of $\pi_4(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ in the AFZ model, which are relevant for the maximal

Abelian projection of the $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^4$, cannot be of the self-dual nature.
The same happens for the three dimensional integrable models on $(6+1)$ Minkowski space-time. This last class of theories is very special, as static solutions may be divided into disjoint classes as they are maps from S^6 onto S^3 and classified by means of a rather exotic topological index $Q \in \pi_6(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$. Using the fact proved in this subsection we conclude that such defects are not of the Bogomolny type, at least as long as one restricts to the integrable pullback models.

7. Nontrivial $\pi_n(S^n)$, $n \geq 3$ textures

7.1. $\pi_4(S^4)$ *textures*

There is a way how to construct nontrivial (non purely topological) models, using the scalars H_n defined in our procedure, which possess solitons carrying the generalized baryon number $Q \in \pi_n(S^n)$. These textures are not exotic and have been studied before [25], [26]. However, we propose new models for such a class of defects which, additionally, appear to be of the Bogomolny type satisfying some first order Bogomolny equations. Moreover, they will be given in an exact form. Such topological defects can be viewed as higher dimensional (in the base space as well as the target space) generalizations of the dilaton-Yang-Mills system with topological index taking values in the $\pi_3(S^3)$ homotopy group [27], [28].
As a first example, we consider a dilaton extension of the three dimensional pullback model in $(4+1)$ dimensional space-time

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi_\mu^2 + e^{2\phi}\omega^2(u\bar{u})\sigma^2(\xi)h_{\mu\nu\rho}^2 \right), \tag{7.1}$$

where ϕ is the dilaton field while ω and σ are arbitrary scalar functions depending on the modulus of the complex and scalar field, respectively. For convenience, we slightly changed the definition of $h_{\mu\nu\rho}$ multiplying it by i, which makes the tensor real. The static Bogomolny equation can be found via the standard trick

$$E = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_i - e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(\xi)\, {}^*h_i \right)^2 + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{3!}\int d^4x e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(\xi)\ {}^*h_i\phi^i, \tag{7.2}$$

where

$${}^*h_i = \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{ijkl}h^{jkl} \tag{7.3}$$

is the pertinent Hodge dual. The first term at the r.h.s. of Eq. (7.2) just provides the Bogomolny equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_i - \frac{e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(\xi)}{\sqrt{3!}}\epsilon_{ijkl}h^{jkl} = 0. \tag{7.4}$$

Then,

$$E \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\int d^4x e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(\xi)\epsilon_{ijkl}\phi^i h^{jkl} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\int d^4x \nabla^i \left(e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(\xi)\epsilon_{ijkl}h^{jkl} \right) \tag{7.5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\oint_{\Sigma} ds_3^i e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(\xi)\epsilon_{ijkl}h^{jkl}. \tag{7.6}$$

Solitons which we expect to live in this system are stable under scale transformations. This is due to the fact that the gradient terms for the ϕ and $h_{\mu\nu\rho}$ fields in the static energy scale oppositely

$$E[\lambda] = \lambda^2 E_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}E_2. \tag{7.7}$$

As the target space of the model is 4 dimensional, we get objects with can be associated with nontrivial representatives of the $\pi_4(S^4)$ homotopy class.

Let us now prove that there are exact soliton configurations which saturate the Bogomolny bound derived above. The full equations of motion for the system (7.1) are

$$\partial_\mu^2\phi - 2e^{2\phi}\omega^2\sigma^2 h_{\mu\nu\rho}^2 = 0 \tag{7.8}$$

$$\partial_\mu\left(e^{2\phi}\omega h^\mu\right) = 0 \tag{7.9}$$

$$\partial_\mu\left(e^{2\phi}\sigma k^\mu\right) = 0, \tag{7.10}$$

with h^μ, k^μ given by (3.6), (3.7). Their static version reads

$$\nabla^2\phi - 2\cdot 3!e^{2\phi}\omega^2\sigma^2(\nabla\xi)^2\left[(\nabla u\nabla\bar{u})^2 - (\nabla u)^2(\nabla\bar{u})^2\right] = 0 \tag{7.11}$$

$$\nabla\left(\omega\vec{h}\right) = 0, \qquad \vec{h} = 2(\nabla\xi)^2\left[(\nabla u\nabla\bar{u})\nabla\bar{u} - (\nabla\bar{u})^2\nabla u\right] \tag{7.12}$$

$$\nabla\left(\sigma\vec{k}\right) = 0, \qquad \vec{k} = 2\left[(\nabla u\nabla\bar{u})^2 - (\nabla u)^2(\nabla\bar{u})^2\right]\nabla\xi, \tag{7.13}$$

where we assumed the following Ansatz

$$\phi = \phi(r), \quad u = u(\theta,\varphi) = f(\theta)e^{im\varphi}, \quad \xi = \xi(\alpha), \tag{7.14}$$

where $r, \alpha, \theta, \varphi$ are spherical coordinates in 4 dimensions such that

$$\nabla = \left[\partial_r, \frac{1}{r}\partial_\alpha, \frac{1}{r\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta, \frac{1}{r\sin\alpha\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi\right]$$

Hence,

$$\nabla u = \frac{1}{r\sin\alpha}\left[0, 0, f_\theta, \frac{imf}{\sin\theta}\right]e^{im\varphi}, \qquad \nabla\xi = \frac{1}{r}\left[0, \xi_\alpha, 0, 0\right] \tag{7.15}$$

and

$$\vec{h} = \frac{4\xi_\alpha^2 mff_\theta}{r^5\sin^3\alpha\sin\theta}e^{-im\varphi}\left[0, 0, \frac{mf}{\sin\theta}, -if_\theta\right] \tag{7.16}$$

$$\vec{k} = \frac{8m^2f^2f_\theta^2}{r^5\sin^4\alpha\sin^2\theta}[0, \xi_\alpha, 0, 0]. \tag{7.17}$$

The homogenous equations (7.12), (7.13) lead to

$$\partial_\theta\left(\ln\frac{\omega ff_\theta}{\sin\theta}\right) = 0, \qquad \partial_\alpha\left(\frac{\sigma\xi_\alpha}{\sin^2\alpha}\right) = 0 \tag{7.18}$$

or

$$\frac{\omega ff_\theta}{\sin\theta} = c_1, \qquad \frac{\sigma\xi_\alpha}{\sin^2\alpha} = c_2, \tag{7.19}$$

where c_1, c_2 are integration constants. Substituting these formulas into the equation for the dilaton (7.11) we get

$$\frac{1}{r^3}\partial_r(r^3\phi_r) - 3\cdot 4^2m^2\frac{e^{2\phi}}{r^6}\left(\frac{\omega ff_\theta}{\sin\theta}\right)^2\left(\frac{\sigma\xi_\alpha}{\sin^2\alpha}\right)^2 = 0 \tag{7.20}$$

$$r^3\partial_r(r^3\phi_r) - 3\cdot 4^2m^2e^{2\phi}c_1^2c_2^2 = 0. \tag{7.21}$$

Then

$$\phi_{xx} - 12m^2c_1^2c_2^2e^{2\phi} = 0, \qquad x = \frac{1}{r^2}, \tag{7.22}$$

and after integration

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi_x^2 = c_3 + 6m^2 c_1^2 c_2^2 e^{2\phi}. \tag{7.23}$$

For finite energy solutions one has to put $c_3 = 0$. In addition, assuming topologically nontrivial boundary conditions $\phi(x=0) = 0$ and $\phi(x=\infty) = -\infty$, we obtain the solution

$$\phi = -\ln\left[\sqrt{12}mc_1c_2\left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{m\sqrt{12}c_1c_2}\right)\right]. \tag{7.24}$$

Specific expressions for u and ξ can be found if we specify the coupling functions ω and σ. For example, we consider

$$\omega = \frac{1}{(1+|u|^2)^2}, \quad \sigma = e^{\kappa\xi}. \tag{7.25}$$

Again, in order to get topologically nontrivial configurations, u should be a map from S^2 to S^2. This requires that the modulus covers the positive real half-axis $f(\theta=0) = 0, f(\theta=\pi) = \infty$. Then we obtain that $c_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ and

$$f = \tan\frac{\theta}{2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad u(\theta,\varphi) = \tan\frac{\theta}{2}e^{im\varphi} \tag{7.26}$$

i.e., a map $S^2 \to S^2$ with winding number m. Finally,

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}e^{\kappa\xi} = \frac{c_2}{2}\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sin 2\alpha\right) + d_2. \tag{7.27}$$

Now, the boundary conditions are $\xi(\alpha=0) = -\infty$ and $\xi(\alpha=\pi) = 0$. Therefore, $d_2 = 0$, $c_2 = \frac{2}{\pi\kappa}$ and

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\kappa}\ln\left[\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sin 2\alpha\right)\right]. \tag{7.28}$$

Thus, taking into account the values of c_1, c_2 we arrive at the final formula for the dilaton field

$$\phi = -\ln\left[\frac{\sqrt{3}m}{\pi\kappa}\left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\pi\kappa}{\sqrt{3}m}\right)\right]. \tag{7.29}$$

The corresponding energy is

$$E = 4\sqrt{3}\frac{m}{\pi\kappa}S_{(3)} = 8\sqrt{3}\frac{\pi}{\kappa}m, \tag{7.30}$$

where $S_{(3)} = 2\pi^2$ is area of the three dimensional sphere with unit radius.
Dilaton extension of the rank three pullback model (7.1) reveals an interesting similarity to the standard Abelian gauge system coupled with a dilaton field. In order to see it, let us consider the following Lagrange density

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_\mu^2 - e^{2\tilde{\phi}}F_{\mu\nu}^2. \tag{7.31}$$

where $F_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Abelian gauge field in (4+1) dimensions. The static, pure electric field equations are

$$\nabla^2\tilde{\phi} - 4e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}\vec{E}^2 = 0 \tag{7.32}$$

$$\nabla\cdot\left(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}\vec{E}\right) = 2\pi^2 q\delta(r), \tag{7.33}$$

where we use the standard definition $E^i = -F^{0i}$, $i = 1,2,3,4$ and assumed vanishing magnetic field. Here q is an electric charge. The obvious solution is

$$\vec{E} = \frac{q}{r^3}e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}\hat{r}, \tag{7.34}$$

$$\tilde{\phi} = \ln\left[2q\left(\frac{1}{r^2}+\frac{1}{2q}\right)\right]. \tag{7.35}$$

Therefore, the solitons found for model (7.1) can be viewed as dual configurations to electric dilaton solutions generated by a point charge in four space dimensions. Indeed, there is a dual transformation connecting solutions of the models (7.1), (7.31)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(\xi)h_{\mu\nu\rho} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta}e^{2\tilde{\phi}}F^{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = -\tilde{\phi}. \tag{7.36}$$

The relation between the electric charge and topological index m, which makes this dual transformation correct, is

$$q = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\frac{m}{\pi\kappa}. \tag{7.37}$$

Notice, that the transformation is realized at the Lagrangians and well as at the solution level. It may be verify using the relation

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{3!}F^2_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{7.38}$$

where minus sign comes from the Minkowski signature. Thus, it makes this tranformation a real duality tranformation.

Remark:
Observe, that a Bogomolny equation similar to (7.4) has been analyzed for a generalized Goldstone model in (4+1) dimensions [26], derived as the gauge decoupled limit of the $SO(4)$ Higgs-Yang-Mills model descended from the 3-th member of the Yang-Mills hierarchy on $\mathbb{R}_4 \times S^8$ [29], [30]. However, our model (7.1) differs qualitatively as well as quantitatively from that Goldstone model. First of all the topology of solutions is different. Here, we are looking for $\pi_4(S^4)$ solitons whereas Radu-Tchrakian solutions are of $\pi_3(S^3)$ type as the pertinent 4 dimensional isovector $\vec{\phi}$ is assumed to tend to a vacuum value at the spatial infinity.[2] Moreover, solitons in this model do not saturate the Bogomolny bound. On the contrary they obey full second order equations of motion. Additionally, they are not known in exact forms. On the other hand, numerical solutions presented in [26] describe configurations with more complicated and interesting geometry than only spherical or axial one. It would be interesting to check whether such multi-solitons may be found in an exact form in dilaton rank-3 model (7.1). One could also analyze the Goldstone model in (4+1) dimensions from the generalized integrability point of view. Perhaps, one could connect the appearance of various soliton solutions with the existence of families of the infinitely many conserved currents.

7.2. $\pi_5(S^5)$ *textures*

In the same fashion one is able to find a Lagrangian for defects of the $\pi_5(S^5)$ homotopy type. The Lagrangian relevant in this context reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^5x\, \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi_\mu^2 - e^{2\phi}\omega^2(u\bar{u})\sigma^2(v,\bar{v})\; h^2_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\right), \tag{7.39}$$

where we use the pullback tensor of 4-dimensional target space. The corresponding Bogomolny equations are

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_i - \frac{e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(v,\bar{v})}{\sqrt{4!}}\epsilon_{ijklm}h^{jklm} = 0, \tag{7.40}$$

and the energy is

$$E = \int d^5x \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_i - \sqrt{4!}e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(v,\bar{v})\, {}^*h_i\right)^2 + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{4!}\int d^5x e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(v\bar{v})\, {}^*h_i\phi^i, \tag{7.41}$$

---

[2] Such a vector maybe identify with the field content of the rank-3 dilaton model as $\vec{\phi} = (\phi, \mathrm{Re}\, u, \mathrm{Im}\, u, \xi)$.

where

$$^*h_i = \frac{1}{4!}\epsilon_{ijklm}h^{jklm}. \tag{7.42}$$

Using the Bogomolny equation we find

$$E \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\int d^5x e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(v,\bar{v})\epsilon_{ijklm}\phi^i h^{jklm} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\int d^5x\nabla^i\left(e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(v,\bar{v})\epsilon_{ijklm}h^{jklm}\right) \tag{7.43}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\oint\limits_{\Sigma} ds_4^i e^{\phi}\omega(u\bar{u})\sigma(v,\bar{v})\epsilon_{ijklm}h^{jklm}. \tag{7.44}$$

The energy scales as

$$E[\lambda] = \lambda^3 E_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^3}E_2. \tag{7.45}$$

Static solitons saturating the Bogomolny bound may be obtained in the same way as before. The equations of motion for our system are

$$\partial_\mu^2\phi + 2e^{2\phi}\omega^2\sigma^2 h^2_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 0, \tag{7.46}$$

$$\partial_\mu\left(e^{2\phi}\omega h^\mu\right) = 0, \quad \partial_\mu\left(e^{2\phi}\sigma k^\mu\right) = 0, \tag{7.47}$$

and the complex conjugates. Here h_μ and k_μ are the canonical momenta conjugate to the u and v fields, respectively. We used that $u_\mu k^\mu = \bar{u}_\mu k^\mu = 0$ and $v_\mu h^\mu = \bar{v}_\mu h^\mu = 0$. For static configurations we assume the following Ansatz

$$\phi = \phi(r), \quad u = u(\theta,\varphi), \quad v = v(\beta,\alpha) \tag{7.48}$$

where $r,\beta,\alpha,\theta,\varphi$ are 5 dimensional spherical coordinates giving

$$\nabla = \left[\partial_r, \frac{1}{r}\partial_\beta, \frac{1}{r\sin\beta}\partial_\alpha, \frac{1}{r\sin\beta\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta, \frac{1}{r\sin\beta\sin\alpha\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi\right].$$

Then,

$$-\nabla_r^2\phi + 4!2e^{2\phi}\omega^2\sigma^2\left[(\nabla u)^2(\nabla\bar{u})^2 - (\nabla u\nabla\bar{u})^2\right]\left[(\nabla v)^2(\nabla\bar{v})^2 - (\nabla v\nabla\bar{v})^2\right] = 0, \tag{7.49}$$

$$\nabla\cdot(\omega\vec{h}) = 0, \quad \vec{h} = \left[(\nabla v)^2(\nabla\bar{v})^2 - (\nabla v\nabla\bar{v})^2\right]\left[(\nabla\bar{u})^2\nabla u - (\nabla u\nabla\bar{u})\nabla\bar{u}\right], \tag{7.50}$$

$$\nabla\cdot(\sigma\vec{k}) = 0, \quad \vec{k} = \left[(\nabla u)^2(\nabla\bar{u})^2 - (\nabla u\nabla\bar{u})^2\right]\left[(\nabla\bar{v})^2\nabla v - (\nabla v\nabla\bar{v})\nabla\bar{v}\right] \tag{7.51}$$

as $\nabla u\nabla v = \nabla\bar{u}\nabla v = \nabla u\nabla\bar{v} = \nabla\bar{u}\nabla\bar{v} = 0$, $\nabla\phi\nabla u = \nabla\phi\nabla\bar{u} = \nabla\phi\nabla v = \nabla\phi\nabla\bar{v} = 0$. Equation (7.50) is easily solved by the configuration $u = f(\theta)e^{im\varphi}$

$$\frac{\omega f f_\theta}{\sin\theta} = c_1. \tag{7.52}$$

In order to solve equation (7.51) we decompose the complex field v into two real scalars $v = \Sigma + i\Lambda$ such that

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(\beta), \qquad \Lambda = \Lambda(\alpha) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \nabla\Sigma\cdot\nabla\Lambda = 0. \tag{7.53}$$

Therefore, we arrive at two equations

$$\nabla\cdot\left(\sigma_1\left[(\nabla u)^2(\nabla\bar{u})^2 - (\nabla u\nabla\bar{u})^2\right](\nabla\Lambda)^2\nabla\Sigma\right) = 0, \tag{7.54}$$

$$\nabla\cdot\left(\sigma_2\left[(\nabla u)^2(\nabla\bar{u})^2 - (\nabla u\nabla\bar{u})^2\right](\nabla\Sigma)^2\nabla\Lambda\right) = 0, \tag{7.55}$$

where $\sigma(v,\bar{v}) = \sigma_1(\Lambda)\sigma_2(\Sigma)$. After some calculations we get

$$\partial_\alpha \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \Lambda_\alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right) = 0, \quad \partial_\beta \left(\frac{\sigma_2 \Sigma_\beta}{\sin^3 \beta} \right) = 0, \tag{7.56}$$

with two obvious solutions

$$\frac{\sigma_1 \Lambda_\alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} = c_2, \quad \frac{\sigma_2 \Sigma_\beta}{\sin^3 \beta} = c_3. \tag{7.57}$$

The first order ordinary differential equations are easily solved if we specify particular forms of the scalar functions $\omega, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$. For example

$$\omega = \frac{1}{(1+|u|^2)^2}, \quad \sigma_1 = e^{\kappa\Lambda}, \quad \sigma_2 = e^{\zeta\Sigma} \tag{7.58}$$

give

$$u(\theta,\varphi) = \tan\frac{\theta}{2} e^{im\varphi}, \tag{7.59}$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sin 2\alpha \right) \right]. \tag{7.60}$$

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{\zeta} \ln \left[\frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{2}{3} - \cos\beta + \frac{1}{3}\cos^3\beta \right) \right], \tag{7.61}$$

with $c_1 = 1/4, c_2 = 2/\pi\kappa, c_3 = 3/4\zeta$ providing a topologically nontrivial configuration. Now, we return to the last remaining equation (7.49)

$$\frac{1}{r^4}\partial_r(r^4\phi_r) - 4!2e^{2\phi}\frac{4^2m^2}{r^8}\left(\frac{\omega f f_\theta}{\sin\theta}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\sigma_1\Lambda_\alpha}{\sin^2\alpha}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\sigma_2\Sigma_\beta}{\sin^3\beta}\right)^2 = 0. \tag{7.62}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{r^4}\partial_r(r^4\phi_r) - 4!2e^{2\phi}\frac{4^2m^2c_1^2c_2^2c_3^2}{r^8} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad r^4\partial_r(r^4\phi_r) - \frac{3^3 2^2 m^2}{\pi^2\kappa^2\zeta^2}e^{2\phi} = 0. \tag{7.63}$$

Finally, the dilaton solution is

$$\phi = -\ln\left[\frac{2\sqrt{3}m}{\pi\kappa\zeta}\left(\frac{1}{r^3} + \frac{\pi\kappa\zeta}{2\sqrt{3}m}\right)\right] \tag{7.64}$$

and the energy is

$$E = \frac{3^3\, 2\,\sqrt{3}}{\pi\kappa\zeta} m S_{(4)} = \frac{3^2 4^2\sqrt{3}\pi}{\kappa\zeta} m, \tag{7.65}$$

where $S_{(4)} = \frac{8}{3}\pi^2$. As we see, such a 5-dimensional dilaton solution is a simple extension of the 4 dimensional case. The additional target space degree of freedom i.e., the field Σ, depends on the new coordinates. The generalization to higher dimensions, that is, to higher homotopy groups, is obvious.

An alternative set-up for solitons of this type is given by the following Lagrangian containing the dilaton field

$$L = \int d^5x \; g_1^2(u\bar{u})h_{\mu\nu}^2 - g_2^2(u\bar{u})\omega^2(v\bar{v})\sigma^2(\xi)\; h_{\mu\nu\rho}^2, \tag{7.66}$$

where $h_{\mu\nu}$ depends on $u,\bar{u}$ while $h_{\mu\nu\rho}$ on $v,\bar{v},\xi$ fields respectively. Once again we use the static energy to derive the static Bogomolny equation

$$E = \int d^5x \left(g_1(u\bar{u})h_{ij} - \sqrt{3}g_2(u\bar{u})\omega(v\bar{v})\sigma(\xi)\,{}^*h_{ij} \right)^2 + 2\sqrt{3}\int d^5x g_1(u\bar{u})g_2(u\bar{u})\omega(v\bar{v})\sigma(\xi)\,{}^*h_{ij}h^{ij}, \tag{7.67}$$

where

$$ {}^*h_{ij} = \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{ijklm}h^{klm} \tag{7.68}$$

is the pertinent Hodge dual of the static part of the $h_{\mu\nu\rho}$ tensor. Therefore, the Bogomolny equation reads

$$ g_1(u\bar{u})h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g_1(u\bar{u})g_2(u\bar{u})\omega(v\bar{v})\sigma(\xi)\epsilon_{ijklm}h^{klm} = 0 \tag{7.69}$$

and

$$ E \geq \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3!}\int d^5x g_1(u\bar{u})g_2(u\bar{u})\omega(v\bar{v})\sigma(\xi)\epsilon_{ijklm}h^{ij}h^{klm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\int d^5x \nabla^i \left(\tilde{g}(u\bar{u})u\ \omega(v\bar{v})\sigma(\xi)\ \epsilon_{ijklm}\bar{u}^j h^{klm}\right) = \tag{7.70}$$

$$ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\oint\limits_{\Sigma} ds^i_{(4)}\tilde{g}(u\bar{u})u\ \omega(v\bar{v})\sigma(\xi)\ \epsilon_{ijklm}\bar{u}^j h^{klm}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{g}'u\bar{u} + \tilde{g} = g_2 \tag{7.71}$$

and the prime denotes differentiation with respect to $u\bar{u}$. Also in this model we avoid the scaling instabilities, because the static energy scales as

$$ E[\lambda] = \lambda E_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}E_2. \tag{7.72}$$

Of course, using other pullback tensors we can derive theories with arbitrary $\pi_n(S^n)$ solitons.
It is worth stressing that these models provide standard second order dynamical equations of motion. This is in contrast to models with exotic textures, for which the Derrick theorem enforces nonlinear (in the canonical momenta) field equations. As a consequence, one gets equations which in some regions are not hyperbolical, leading to serious problems as far as time evolution of solitons is concerned.

8. Conclusions

The present paper further develops and applies the Generalized Zero Curvature approach of Ref. [1]. Its main results are the construction of several families of integrable models living on $(d+1)$ dimensional space-times and characterized by arbitrary target space manifolds of dimension n, as well as the detailed investigation of their solutions. Such models are integrable in the sense that they possess the generalized zero curvature formulation, infinitely many conservation laws and, in those particular cases we have checked, admit infinitely many static solutions with a nontrivial topological structure. The origin of the conserved currents has also been clarified. They are generated by the volume preserving diffeomorphisms on the target space.
All these models are built from the pullback of the pertinent target space volume n-form into the $(d+1)$ (Minkowski) base space, and may be multiplied by a density function depending entirely on the fields and not on their derivatives. Therefore the models are trivial if $d \leq n$. On the other hand, if $d > n$, the integrable models describe nontrivial highly nonlinear field theories. Therefore, the solitons found in these models are, in general, of a nonstandard (exotic) type from the topology point of view. In fact, hopfions as well as suspended Hopf maps (higher suspended Hopf maps as well) can be derived as finite energy solutions to those models. These configurations are probably not of the Bogomolny type and are not given by any first order field equations. The first argument is based on the observation that the dependence of the energy on the topological charge is non-linear. The second argument is given in this work and shows that, at least for some of the models, self-dual configurations contribute to the vacuum manifold. However, this problem is by no means solved and definitely requires further studies. On the other hand, the fact that the higher dimensional nonlinear models derived here may be solved exactly, in spite of the lack of Bogomolny equations, is very intriguing and indicates that the generalized integrability can serve, to some extent, as an alternative to the Bogomolny limit.
Using the pullback tensors, we have been able to construct models with exact solitons with more standard topological content. Infinitely many finite energy static solutions with topological index belonging to $\pi_4(S^4)$

as well as $\pi_5(S^5)$ have been obtained. And in contrast to the previous exotic solutions, they emerge from their pertinent Bogomolny equations.

One possible direction of further investigation consists in the use of more general ansaetze. Indeed, all solutions presented here have the property that the gradients of different fields are perpendicular, which simplifies the calculations significantly. Relaxing this condition may give rise to more general solutions with a more complicated geometry.

Another interesting question concerns the possibility to embed these intergrable models into more complicated and, at the same time, more physically relevant theories, as discussed in Section 5. This possibility has been studied in detail for the case of Yang–Mills dilaton theory [23], which contains an integrable submodel defined by abelian projection. This submodel is of the type discussed in Section 7, but based on the topological index $\pi_3(S^3)$. In that case it turns out that the soliton solutions are stable in the submodel (the obey a Bogomolny bound), but unstable, sphaleron-type solutions in the full Yang–Mills dilaton theory. Therefore, in the case of the embedding of integrable models into larger theories the question of stability is rather nontrivial and certainly requires a detailed study. More generally, possible embeddings into Yang–Mills type theories and their collective excitations may lead to applications with some experimental relevance (e.g., to condensates, or gluonic extended field configurations). These issues will be further investigated in the future.

In any case, we think that the rather large class of integrable models together with their explicit solutions presented in this paper are of interest and will lead to further applications. Let us finally mention that the conjecture relating intergrability and solvability for higher-dimensional integrable field theories continues to hold for the class of models studied in this paper. Indeed, for any theory which possesses infinitely many conserved quantitites, infinitely many exact solutions may be found by simple quadratures, once an adequate ansatz has been chosen. This points towards the existence of a deep relation between integrability and solvability also in higher dimensions, analogous to the case of 1+1 dimensional field theories.

Acknowledgements

C.A., P.K. and J.S.-G. thank MCyT (Spain) and FEDER (FPA2005-01963), and support from Xunta de Galicia (grant PGIDIT06PXIB296182PR and Conselleria de Educacion). A.W. acknowledges support from the Foundation for Polish Science FNP (KOLUMB programme 2008/2009) and Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland grant N N202 126735 (2008-2010).

References

[1] Alvarez O, Ferreira L A and Sánchez-Guillén J 1998 Nucl. Phys. B **529** 689

[2] Aratyn H, Ferreira L A and Zimerman A H 1999 Phys. Lett. B **456** 162

[3] Aratyn H, Ferreira L A and Zimerman A H 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** 1723

[4] Wereszczyński A 2004 Eur. Phys. J C **38** 261

[5] Nicole D A 1978 J. Phys. G **4** 1363

[6] Adam C and Sánchez-Guillén J 2005 JHEP **0501**:004

[7] Adam C, Sánchez-Guillén J, Vazquez R and Wereszczyński A 2006 J. Math. Phys. **47** 052302

[8] Wereszczyński A 2005 Eur. Phys. J C **41** 265

[9] Wereszczyński A 2005 Phys. Lett. B **621** 201

[10] Deser S, Duff M J and Isham C J 1976 Nucl. Phys. B **114** 29

[11] Ferreira L A and Razumov A V 2001 Lett. Math. Phys. **55** 143

[12] Babelon O and Ferreira L A 2002 JHEP 0211:020

[13] Adam C, Sánchez-Guillén J and Wereszczyński A 2006 J. Math. Phys. **47** 022303

[14] Gianzo D, Madsen and Sánchez-Guillén J 1998 Nucl. Phys. B **537** 586

[15] Leite E E and Ferreira L A 1999 Nucl. Phys. B **547** 471

[16] Fujii K, Homma Y and Suzuki T 1998 Phys. Lett. B **438** 290

[17] Suzuki T 2000 Nucl. Phys. B **578** 515

[18] Skyrme T H R 1961 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **260** 127

[19] Skyrme T H R 1962 Nucl. Phys. **31** 556

[20] Ferreira L A and Sánchez-Guillén J 2001 Phys. Lett. B **504** 195

[21] Adam C, Sánchez-Guillén J and Wereszczyński A 2007 J. Math. Phys. **48** 032302

[22] Adam C, Sánchez-Guillén J and Wereszczyński A 2007 J. Phys. **A40** 1907

[23] Adam C, Sánchez-Guillén J and Wereszczyński A 2008 J. Phys. **A41** 095401

[24] Kurkcuoglu S 2008 Phys. Rev. **D78** 065020

[25] Paturyan V, Radu E and Tchrakian D H 2006 J. Phys. **A39** 3817

[26] Radu E and Tchrakian D H 2007 J. Phys. **A40** 10129

[27] Lavrelashvili G V and Maison 1992 Phys. Lett. **B295** 67

[28] Bizon P 1993 Phys. Rev. **D47** 1656

[29] Tchrakian D H 1990 Phys. Lett. **B244** 458

[30] Tchrakian D H 1991 J. Phys. **A24** 1959

[31] Cho Y M 1980 Phys. Rev. D **21** 1080

[32] Cho Y M 1981 Phys. Rev. D **23** 2415

[33] Faddeev L and Niemi A 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** 1624

[34] Shabanov S V 1999 Phys. Lett. B **458** 322

[35] Shabanov S V 1999 Phys. Lett. B **463** 263

[36] Gies H 2001 Phys. Rev. D **63** 125023

[37] Kalb M and Ramond P 1974 Phys. Rev. D**9** 2273

[38] Tchrakian D H and Zimmerschied F 2000 Phys. Rev. D **62** 045002

[39] Hindmarsh M, Holman R, Kephart T W and Vachaspati T 1993 Nucl. Phys. B **404** 794

[40] Chakraborty S and Rahaman F 2000 Annals Phys. **286** 1

[41] In-yong Cho 2002 Phys. Rev. D **66** 045028

[42] Cho I and Vilenkin A 2003 Phys. Rev. D **68** 025013

[43] Cho I and Vilenkin A Phys. Rev. D **69** 045005

[44] Torrealba R S e-Print: arXiv:0803.0313 [hep-th]

[45] De Carli E and Ferreira L A 2005 J. Math. Phys. **46** 012703

[46] Ferriera L A 2006 JHEP 0603:075

[47] Riserio do Bonfim A C and Ferriera L A 2006 JHEP 0603:097